

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

Application of Southern California Edison Company (E 3338-E) for Authority to Institute a Rate Stabilization Plan with a Rate Increase and End of Rate Freeze Tariffs.	Application 00-11-038 (Filed November 16, 2000)
Emergency Application of Pacific Gas and Electric Company to Adopt a Rate Stabilization Plan. (U 39 E)	Application 00-11-056 (Filed November 22, 2000)
Petition of THE UTILITY REFORM NETWORK for Modification of Resolution E-3527.	Application 00-10-028 (Filed October 17, 2000)

**ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE'S RULING
DENYING THE REQUEST TO OFFER
PREPARED REBUTTAL TESTIMONY**

At the prehearing conference held on November 9, 2001, with respect to the evidentiary hearings relating to the California Department of Water Resources revenue requirement allocation issues, an oral request was made by Pacific Gas & Electric Company (PG&E). PG&E requested leave to submit separate written rebuttal testimony, and to offer a witness to be cross-examined on that rebuttal. In response to PG&E's request, various other parties argued that, if PG&E was permitted to present rebuttal testimony, they should also be permitted to present rebuttal testimony, as well.

In the interests of keeping the proceeding on schedule, PG&E's request for rebuttal testimony shall be denied. If PG&E were permitted to submit rebuttal testimony, fairness requires that other parties would also have to be accorded a similar opportunity. Adding a rebuttal phase has the potential to disrupt the timely completion of evidentiary hearings, as currently scheduled.

IT IS RULED that the request of PG&E to offer rebuttal testimony as part of the hearings on DWR revenue allocation is hereby denied.

Dated November 9, 2001, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ THOMAS R. PULSIFER

Thomas R. Pulsifer
Administrative Law Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that I have by mail, and by electronic mail to the parties to which an electronic mail address has been provided, this day served a true copy of the original attached Administrative Law Judge Ruling Denying the Request to Offer Prepared Rebuttal Testimony on all parties of record in this proceeding or their attorneys of record.

Dated November 9, 2001, at San Francisco, California.

/s/ ERLINDA PULMANO
Erlinda Pulmano

N O T I C E

Parties should notify the Process Office, Public Utilities Commission, 505 Van Ness Avenue, Room 2000, San Francisco, CA 94102, of any change of address to insure that they continue to receive documents. You must indicate the proceeding number on the service list on which your name appears.

The Commission's policy is to schedule hearings (meetings, workshops, etc.) in locations that are accessible to people with disabilities. To verify that a particular location is accessible, call: Calendar Clerk (415) 703-1203.

If specialized accommodations for the disabled are needed, e.g., sign language interpreters, those making the arrangements must call the Public Advisor at (415) 703-2074, TTY 1-866-836-7825 or (415) 703-5282 at least three working days in advance of the event.